

CONSTANT MEAN CURVATURE n -NOIDS WITH PLATONIC SYMMETRIES

N. SCHMITT

Introduction

We construct families of genus-zero constant mean curvature (CMC) surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with finite symmetry groups.

The construction is via the extended Weierstrass representation for constant mean curvature surfaces. A description of this construction (Dorfmeister-Pedit-Wu [5]) can be found in [12], briefly in Section 1, and elsewhere. The construction for immersions into $\mathbb{R}^3$ is outlined as follows. (1) Write down a potential ξ with values in the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -valued loops on the λ -parametrized unit circle $\mathbb{S}^1$ and certain meromorphic behavior in λ on the unit disk. (2) Solve the equation $d\Phi = \Phi\xi$. (3) Let F be the unitary factor in the Iwasawa factorization of Φ . Then F is the extended frame of a local CMC immersion. The immersion can be obtained from F via a Sym formula.

The ends of the immersions are conjectured to be asymptotic to half-Delaunay surfaces, because their potentials are local perturbations of Delaunay potentials at their ends.

Since the construction of the immersion involves solving a differential equation which has monodromy, the difficulty of the construction is to close the ends. This amounts to showing that the monodromy representation is pointwise simultaneously unitarizable on the unit circle via conjugation by a dressing.

The unitarization problem for the immersions in this paper is solved by reduction to the trinoid case, which is solved [12]. The idea is to impose symmetries on the potential in such a way that the fundamental piece of the surface has at most three points ends. A modification of the trinoid technology for non-closed surfaces then shows that under a suitable set of inequalities, the monodromy for the fundamental piece is unitarizable. The monodromies for the whole immersion are powers of the monodromies of the fundamental piece, so that the monodromy group for the whole immersion is unitarizable.

More precisely, the above program is implemented as follows. We construct a rational map $u : \mathbb{P}_z^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_u^1$ together with a potential ξ on $\mathbb{P}_z^1$ such that ξ descends via u to a potential η on $\mathbb{P}_u^1$ which has at most three poles at the branch values of u . This is accomplished by taking u to be an invariant of finite group of Möbius transformations acting on $\mathbb{P}_z^1$. By trinoid technology, the spherical triangle inequalities on the logs of the eigenvalues of a set of generators of the monodromy group imply that the monodromy for η is unitarizable. The gauge equivalence between η and ξ then implies that the monodromy for ξ is unitarizable. It follows that ξ induces a CMC immersion of a punctured Riemann sphere.

Since the astronoid construction is based on the trinoid construction, many of the tools have already been developed [12]. The paper starts with lemmas on finite symmetry groups and invariants, and on monodromy for potentials and their pullbacks. Section 5 solves the astronoid monodromy unitarizability problem, and the astronoids are constructed in Theorem 5.7. The remainder of the paper is concerned with symmetry. Theorem 6.5 shows that the symmetries of the potential induce symmetries of the CMC immersion, under suitable conditions. The symmetries of the astronoids are computed in Theorem 7.3.

I thank Franz Pedit for many helpful discussions.

1. The extended Weierstrass representation

1.1. **Notation.** We will use the following notations:

For $r \in (0, 1]$, $\mathcal{C}_r = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = 1\}$. For $r \in (0, 1]$, $\mathcal{D}_r = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| < r\}$. For $r \in (0, 1)$, $\mathcal{A}_r = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid r < |\lambda| < 1/r\}$.

For $r \in (0, 1]$, $\Lambda_r \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ is the group of analytic maps $\mathcal{C}_r \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$.

For $r \in (0, 1)$, $\Lambda_r^* \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C} \subset \Lambda_r \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ is the subgroup of r -unitary loops, that is, analytic maps $\mathcal{C}_r \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ which are the boundaries of maps $\mathcal{A}_r \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the reality condition $X^* = X^{-1}$, where X^* is defined by

$$X^*(\lambda) = \overline{X(1/\bar{\lambda})}^t.$$

$\Lambda_1^* \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C} \subset \Lambda_1 \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ is the group of analytic maps $\mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}_2$.

For $r \in (0, 1]$, $\Lambda_r^+ \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ is the group of analytic maps $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ which are the boundaries of analytic maps $\mathbb{D}_r \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$, and such that $X(0)$ is upper-triangular with real entries on its diagonal.

$\Lambda_{(r,1)} \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ is the group of holomorphic maps from the annulus $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid r < |\lambda| < 1\}$ to $\mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$.

For $r \in (0, 1]$, the r -Iwasawa factorization [10, 3] of $X \in \Lambda_1 \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ is the unique factorization $X = FB$, where $F \in \Lambda_r^* \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ and $B \in \Lambda_r^+ \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$.

For $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ a domain invariant under complex conjugation and $X : D \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ a holomorphic map, we will use the notation $X(\lambda)^\circ = \overline{X(\bar{\lambda})}$.

1.2. **The extended Weierstrass construction.** The extended Weierstrass representation for CMC surfaces is as follows:

1. Let Σ be a domain in $\mathbb{C}$. With $r \in (0, 1]$, let ξ be a *potential*, that is, a $\Lambda_r \mathrm{sl}_2 \mathbb{C}$ -valued differential form which is the boundary of a meromorphic differential on the unit λ disk, with a pole only at $\lambda = 0$, and only in the upper-right entry.

2. Solve the ordinary differential equation $d\Phi = \Phi\xi$.

3. Let F be the unitary factor in the r -Iwasawa factorization of Φ . Then F is the extended frame of some CMC immersion.

4. Apply the Sym formula $f = F'F^{-1}$, where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to θ , where $\lambda = e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^1$.

If $\mathcal{M}$ is the monodromy group, of F , then the immersion f on the universal cover descends to an immersion on Σ if and only iff all $M_F \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfy

$$(1.1) \quad M_F(1) = \pm I, \quad M_F'(1) = 0.$$

2. Finite symmetry groups and invariants

2.1. **Finite subgroups of SO_3 .** We give here for reference the well-known classification of the finite subgroups of SO_3 .

Let $\mathcal{E}(3)$ denote the isometries of Euclidean 3-space, and $\mathcal{E}^+(3) \subset \mathcal{E}(3)$ the subgroup of orientation-preserving isometries.

The finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PSU}_2 \cong \mathrm{SO}_3$ and $\mathrm{PSL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ are classified as follows [4]:

1. Every finite nontrivial subgroup of $\mathrm{PSU}_2 \cong \mathrm{SO}_3$ is one of the following groups:

- the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_n$ (order n),
- the dihedral group D_n (order $2n$),
- the symmetry group A_4 of the tetrahedron (order 12),
- the symmetry group S_4 of the octahedron or hexahedron (order 24),
- the symmetry group A_5 of the icosahedron or dodecahedron (order 60).

2. If two finite subgroups of PSU_2 are isomorphic, then they are conjugate via an element of PSU_2 .

3. Every finite subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of PSU_2 via conjugation by an element of $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$.

4. Every finite subgroups of $\mathcal{E}(3)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of O_3 via a conjugation by an element of $\mathcal{E}(3)$.

5. Every finite subgroups of $\mathcal{E}^+(3)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of SO_3 via a conjugation by an element of $\mathcal{E}^+(3)$.

Statement 3 can be proved by averaging an inner product on $\mathbb{C}^2$ with respect to the action of the finite subgroup G to get an inner product which is invariant under the action of G .

2.2. The rational invariant. For each finite subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ of order d , there is an essentially unique rational function u of degree d which is invariant under the action of G and which generates the field of such invariant functions.

Let $\mathbb{C}(z)$ denote the field of rational functions on $\mathbb{P}^1$ in the variable z . For a group $G \subset \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$, let $\mathbb{C}(z)^G \subset \mathbb{C}(z)$ denote the field of rational functions on $\mathbb{P}^1$ in the variable z which are invariant under the action of G , in the sense that for all $v \in \mathbb{C}(z)^G$ and all $g \in G$, $v \circ g = v$.

Lemma 2.1. *For any subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ with finite order n , the field $\mathbb{C}(z)^G$ of rational maps invariant under G is generated by a single element with degree n . Moreover, given one such generator u , any other generator is of the form $\tau \circ u$ for some $\tau \in \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. To construct a generator, let $p, q \in \mathbb{P}^1$ be distinct points, and define $u \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ by

$$u(z) = \frac{\prod_{g \in G} (z - g(p))}{\prod_{g \in G} (z - g(q))}.$$

To show that $u \in \mathbb{C}(z)^G$, take $h \in G$. Then

$$(u \circ h)(z) = \frac{\prod_{g \in G} (h(z) - g(p))}{\prod_{g \in G} (h(z) - g(q))}.$$

The zero set (resp. pole set) of $u \circ h$ is the orbit of p (resp. q) under the action of G , counted with multiplicities. It follows that $u \circ h$ is a constant multiple of u . At a fixed point r of h , we have $(u \circ h)(r) = u(r)$, so $u \circ h = u$. This shows $u \in \mathbb{C}(z)^G$.

To show that u generates $\mathbb{C}(u)^G$, first note that the image of the orbit under G of any $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$ under any element of $\mathbb{C}(u)^G$ is a single point. It follows that the degree of every non-constant element of $\mathbb{C}(u)^G$ is a multiple of n .

Let $v \in \mathbb{C}(z)^G$. Then v takes the orbit of p to a and the orbit of q to b . Let $\rho \in \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ satisfy $\rho(a) = 0$, $\rho(b) = \infty$. Then $v \circ \rho$ has zeros (resp. poles) at the orbit of p (resp. q) counted with multiplicities. Hence $\deg((v \circ \rho)/u) = \deg(v) - n$. By induction, v can be reduced to degree $> n$, implying degree 0. It follows by induction that v is a rational function of u . $\square$

Given an analytic function f in a neighborhood of $p \in \mathbb{C}$ with series expansion $a_0 + a_j(z - p)^j + \mathrm{O}((z - p)^{j+1})$, $a_j \neq 0$, we define j as the *multiplicity* $j = \mathrm{mult}_p f$ of f at p . Note that $\mathrm{mult}_p f = 1 + \mathrm{ord}_p f'$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $G \subset \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ be a finite subgroup of order d and let u be a generator of $\mathbb{C}(z)^G$ of degree d as in Lemma 2.1. Then*

- (i) *The orbits of G are the sets of the form $u^{-1}(\{x\})$, $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$.*
- (ii) *If $A \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ is the orbit of $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ under G , then $\mathrm{mult}_a u = d/\#A$.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let $G \in \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ be a finite subgroup, and let u be a generator of $\mathbb{C}(z)^G$. (1) u has three branch values, except in the case $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$, in which it has two. (2) If $u(p) = u(q)$, then $\mathrm{mult}_p u = \mathrm{mult}_q u$. (3) The following table lists, for each finite subgroup group $G \subset \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$, the multiplicities of u at the branch points of u which map to distinct branch values.*

Group	Multiplicities
$\mathbb{Z}_n$	n, n
D_n	$2, 2, n$
A_4	$3, 3, 2$
S_4	$4, 3, 2$
A_5	$5, 3, 2$

Proof. For the case $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$, generated by $z \mapsto e^{2\pi i/n} z$, then $u = z^n$ is a generator of $\mathbb{C}(z)^G$. Then the branch points of u are 0 and ∞ with respective branch values 0 and ∞ , and $\mathrm{mult}_0 u = \mathrm{mult}_\infty u = n$.

Assume now that $G \neq \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then G is the orientation-preserving symmetry group of a double right pyramid, tetrahedron, octahedron or icosahedron. Let P be the centrally-projected polyhedron to the 2-sphere. Let B_1 be the set of vertices, B_2 the set of face centers, and B_3 the set of edge centers. (For the dihedral group, let B_1 be the set of vertices other than the apexes, B_2 the set of two apexes, and B_3 the edge centers of the n -gon between the apexes.) Then it is geometrically clear that B_1 , B_2 , and B_3 are distinct orbits of G , with cardinalities $(\#B_1, \#B_2, \#B_3)$ respectively $(n, n, 2)$, $(4, 4, 6)$, $(6, 8, 12)$, $(12, 20, 30)$.

By Lemma 2.3, u has the multiplicities listed in the table above.

To show that u has no other branch points we use the formula

$$\sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}^1} (\mathrm{mult}_p u - 1) = 2d - 2,$$

where d is the order of G and the degree of u . For $k = 1, 2, 3$, define $n_k = \mathrm{mult}_p u$, where $p \in B_k$. Let $B = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3$. Then

$$\sum_{p \in B} (\mathrm{mult}_p u - 1) = \sum_{k=1}^3 \#B_k (n_k - 1) = 3d - \sum_{k=1}^3 \#B_k = 2d - 2.$$

Hence there cannot be any points in $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus B$ such that $\mathrm{mult}_p u > 1$, so u has no branch points in $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus B$. $\square$

A generator u of $\mathbb{C}(z)^G$ also has reflective symmetries.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $G \subset \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ be a finite subgroup of order n which is not cyclic, let u be a generator of $\mathbb{C}(z)^G$ of degree n , let b_1, b_2, b_3 be the branch values of u , and let $B_k = u^{-1}(\{b_k\})$, $k = 1, 2, 3$. Let σ be an inversion in a circle which preserves each of the sets B_1, B_2, B_3 . Let τ be the inversion in the circle through b_1, b_2, b_3 . Then $\tau \circ u \circ \sigma = u$.*

Proof. Let $f = \tau \circ u \circ \sigma$. Then f is a rational function on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with the same degree as u . Then for each $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $f^{-1}(\{b_k\}) = B_k$. Moreover, f has the same multiplicity as u at each element of $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3$. Since the cardinality of $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3$ counted with multiplicity is $3n$, and u is determined by its value at $2n + 2$ points, then $f = u$. $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *Let $G_0 \subset \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ be a finite subgroup. Then there exists a subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ conjugate to G_0 by an element of $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$, and a generator u of $\mathbb{C}(z)^G$, such that the branch values of u are 0, 1, ∞ (or 0, ∞ in the case $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$), and u satisfies $u(\bar{z}) = u(z)$.*

Proof. In the case $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$, G_0 is conjugate to the group G generated by $\tau \in \text{PSU}_2\mathbb{C}$ defined by $\tau(z) = e^{2\pi i/n}z$. Then $u(z) = z^n$ is the a generator satisfying the conditions.

Hence assume $G \neq \mathbb{Z}_n$. Let u_0 be a generator of $\mathbb{C}(z)^G$ and let b_k ($k = 1, 2, 3$), σ and τ be as in Lemma 2.4, so that by that lemma, $u = \tau \circ u_0 \circ \sigma$. By a conjugation, we may assume that τ is the map $\tau(z) = \bar{z}$. By a Möbius transformation, we may assume that $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (0, 1, \infty)$. Then u satisfies the required conditions. $\square$

3. The Schwartz gauge

3.1. The potential. The construction of CMC surfaces by the extended Weierstrass representation begins with a meromorphic $\text{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ -valued potential on the underlying Riemann surface. Let z be the standard conformal coordinate on the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{P}^1$. We will use a potential of the form

$$(3.1) \quad \xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^{-1}dz \\ (1-\lambda)^2Q/dz & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where Q is the Hopf differential.

This choice of potential is motivated by the following considerations:

1. We would like the resulting immersion to have asymptotically Delaunay ends. Hence all poles of Q should be double poles, with real quadratic residues.
2. Since we aim for Delaunay ends, we want the eigenvalues of the monodromy associated to ξ to be the same as those for a Delaunay surface. The form of p insures this.
3. The form of p insures that the closing conditions (1.1) hold for the monodromy of the holomorphic frame.
4. Moreover, since ambient symmetries of the immersion induce symmetries in the Hopf differential, we want to choose Q to be invariant under whatever symmetry group we want to impose on the surface.

In this section we define and determine some properties of the *Schwartz gauge*, a gauge g of an off-diagonal potential on a Riemann sphere whose upper-right term $\lambda^{-1}dz$ is left invariant under the gauge action of g .

3.2. The Schwartzian derivative. For a function $u = u(z) : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, the Schwartzian derivative $\mathcal{S}_z[u]$ of u with respect to z is the quadratic differential on $\mathbb{P}^1$ defined by

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\mathcal{S}_z[u]}{dz^2} = \left(\frac{u''}{u'} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{u''}{u'} \right)^2,$$

where the prime notation denotes differentiation with respect to z .

Lemma 3.1.

- (i) For all $\tau \in \text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{S}_z[\tau] = 0$.
- (ii) For $u \in \mathbb{C}(w)$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}(z)$, the “Schwartz derivative chain rule” holds:

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{S}_w[v \circ u] = u^*(\mathcal{S}_z[v]) + \mathcal{S}_w[u].$$

- (iii) Let $v \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ and $\tau \in \text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$. If $\tau^*v = v$, then $\tau^*(\mathcal{S}_z[v]) = \mathcal{S}_z[v]$.

- (iv) Let $G \subset \text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ be a finite group and let u be a generator of $\mathbb{C}(z)^G$. Then there exists a quadratic differential α on $\mathbb{P}_u^1$ such that $v^*\alpha = \mathcal{S}_z[u]$.

Proof. Statements (i)–(iii) are computations.

To prove (iii), note that by (ii), for all $\tau \in G$, $\tau^*\mathcal{S}_z[u] = \mathcal{S}_z[u]$. Consider $f = \mathcal{S}_z[u]/du^2$. Then for all $\tau \in G$, we have

$$\tau^*f = \tau^*\mathcal{S}_z[u]/\tau^*du^2 = \mathcal{S}_z[u]/du^2 = f.$$

Hence $f \in \mathbb{C}(z)^G$. Since u generates $\mathbb{C}(z)^G$, then there exists g such that $u^*g = f$. Let $\alpha = gdu^2$. Then

$$u^*\alpha = (u^*g)(u^*du^2) = fdu^2 = \mathcal{S}_z[u].$$

□

3.3. The Schwartz gauge.

Definition 3.2 (Schwartz gauge). Let Σ_1, Σ_2 be Riemann surfaces and suppose there exists a global coordinate z on Σ_1 . Let $u : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ be a map. Let $B \subset \Sigma_1$ be the branch points of u . Then we define the *Schwartz gauge* $G_z[u] : (\Sigma_1 \setminus B) \times \mathbb{C}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ by

$$G_z[u] = \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ -\lambda v' & v^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad v = (u')^{-1/2},$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to z .

Note that while $G_z[u]$ is only defined up to sign, gauge action by $G_z[u]$ is well-defined.

Lemma 3.3. *Let z be the standard coordinate on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$. Let Q be a quadratic differential on Σ . Let $u : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a rational function let B be the set of branch points of u , let $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus B$, and let $\tilde{\Sigma}$ be a double cover of Σ . Let ξ be a potential of the form (3.1). Then*

$$(3.4) \quad \xi \cdot G_z[u] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^{-1} du \\ ((1-\lambda)^2 Q + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \mathcal{S}_z[u])/du & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. The proof is a direct calculation. Let the prime denote differentiation with respect to z , so $u'(z)dz = du$. Then by (3.2),

$$\mathcal{S}_z[u] = \left(\frac{u'''}{u'} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{u''}{u'} \right)^2 \right) dz^2 = \left(\frac{u'''}{u'} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{u''}{u'} \right)^2 \right) \frac{du^2}{(u')^2}.$$

Then with $g = G_z[u]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \cdot g &= g^{-1} \xi g + g^{-1} dg \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u''}{2u'} & u' \\ (1-\lambda)^2 \frac{Q}{u' dz^2} - \frac{(u'')^2}{4(u')^3} & -\frac{u'' dz}{2u'} \end{pmatrix} dz + \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{u''}{2u'} & 0 \\ -\frac{(u'')^2}{2(u')^3} + \frac{u'''}{2(u')^2} & \frac{u''}{2u'} \end{pmatrix} dz \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u' \\ (1-\lambda)^2 \frac{Q}{u' dz^2} - \frac{3(u'')^2}{4(u')^3} + \frac{u'''}{2(u')^2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} dz \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & du \\ (1-\lambda)^2 \frac{Q}{du} + \frac{1}{2(u')^2} \left(\frac{u'''}{u'} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{u''}{u'} \right)^2 \right) du & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & du \\ \frac{1}{du} ((1-\lambda)^2 Q + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{S}_z[u]) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The next lemma gives the formula for the Schwartz gauge for a composition of maps.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3$ be Riemann surfaces and suppose there exist global coordinates z, w on Σ_1 and Σ_2 respectively. Let $u : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ and $v : \Sigma_2 \rightarrow \Sigma_3$ be maps. Then for some choice of sign,*

$$(3.5) \quad G_z[v \circ u] = \pm G_z[u] \cdot u^* G_w[v].$$

Proof. Note: equality (3.5) is valid only up to sign because of the sign ambiguity of its terms.

The proof is by direct calculation. Let

$$A = \left(\frac{du}{dz} \right)^{-1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \left(\frac{dv}{dw} \right)^{-1/2}.$$

Then

$$G_z[u] = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ -\lambda \frac{dA}{dz} & A^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad G_w[v] = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ -\lambda \frac{dB}{dw} & B^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence

$$(3.6) \quad G_z[u] \cdot u^* G_w[v] = \begin{pmatrix} Au^*B & 0 \\ -\lambda \left(u^*B \frac{dA}{dz} + A^{-1}u^* \frac{dB}{dw} \right) & A^{-1}u^*B^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

On the other hand,

$$(3.7) \quad G_z[v \circ u] = \begin{pmatrix} Au^*B & 0 \\ -\lambda \frac{d}{dz} (Au^*B) & A^{-1}u^*B^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Looking at the lower-left entry of (3.7),

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{d}{dz} (Au^*B) = u^*B \frac{dA}{dz} + A \frac{d}{dz} u^*B.$$

The second term of the right hand side of (3.8) is

$$A \frac{d}{dz} u^*B = A \cdot u^* \frac{dB}{dw} \cdot \frac{du}{dz} = A^{-1}u^* \frac{dB}{dw},$$

so (3.8) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dz} (Au^*B) = u^*B \frac{dA}{dz} + A^{-1}u^* \frac{dB}{dw}.$$

Hence the lower-right entries of (3.6) and (3.7) are equal, proving (3.5). $\square$

The next lemma shows how invariance of the Hopf differential under the action of a conformal or anticonformal Möbius transformation implies gauge invariance of a potential of the form (3.1).

Lemma 3.5. *Let ξ be a potential of the form (3.1) with Hopf differential Q .*

- (i) *Suppose $\tau^*Q = Q$ for some Möbius transformation $\tau \in \text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$. Then $\tau^*\xi = \xi \cdot G_z[\tau]$.*
- (ii) *Suppose $\overline{\tau^*Q} = Q$ for some anticonformal Möbius transformation τ . Then $\tau^*\xi^\circ = \xi \cdot G_z[\overline{\tau}]$.*

Proof. Part (i) follows by Lemma 3.3 and the fact that $\mathcal{S}_z[\tau] = 0$. Part (ii) follows similarly. $\square$

4. Monodromy

Before constructing the symmetric n -noids, we will need some facts about monodromy.

4.1. Monodromy and gauges.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a Lie group. Let Σ be a Riemann surface. Let ξ be a potential on Σ with values in the lie algebra for G . Let $\rho : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \Sigma$ be the universal cover of Σ . Let Δ be the group of deck transformations on $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Let $\Phi : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow G$ be a solution to the equation $d\Phi = \Phi\xi$. Let $\tau : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a conformal map, and let $\tilde{\tau} : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}$ be a lift of τ to $\tilde{\Sigma}$, that is, $\tilde{\tau}$ is some map satisfying $\tau \circ \rho = \rho \circ \tilde{\tau}$. Let $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Delta$ such that $\delta_2 \circ \tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau} \circ \delta_1$. Then*

(i)

$$M(\delta_1, \tilde{\tau}^*\Phi) = M(\delta_2, \Phi).$$

(ii) If for some $h, g \in G$ we have $\tilde{\tau}^*\Psi = h\Phi g$, and g satisfies $\delta_1^*g = \pm g$, then

$$M(\delta_2, \Psi) = \pm \text{Ad}_h M(\delta_1, \Phi).$$

Proof. To prove (i), first note that

$$\delta_1 \tilde{\tau}^* = (\tilde{\tau} \circ \delta_1)^* = (\delta_2 \circ \tilde{\tau})^* = \tilde{\tau}^* \delta_2^*.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} M(\delta_1, \tilde{\tau}^*\Phi) &= \delta_1^* \tilde{\tau}^* \Phi \cdot (\tilde{\tau}^*\Phi)^{-1} = \tilde{\tau}^* \delta_2^* \Phi \cdot (\tilde{\tau}^*\Phi)^{-1} \\ &= \tilde{\tau}^* (\delta_2^* \Phi \cdot \Phi^{-1}) = \tilde{\tau}^* (M(\delta_2, \Phi)) = M(\delta_2, \Phi). \end{aligned}$$

To prove (ii), note that by part (i) we have $M(\delta_2, \Psi) = M(\delta_1, \tilde{\tau}^*\Psi)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} M(\delta_2, \Psi) &= M(\delta_1, \tilde{\tau}^*\Psi) = M(\delta_1, h\Phi g) \\ &= \delta_1^* (h\Phi g) \cdot (h\Phi g)^{-1} = h \cdot \delta_1^* \Phi (\delta_1^* g \cdot g^{-1}) \Phi^{-1} h^{-1} \\ &= \pm h (\delta_1^* \Phi \cdot \Phi^{-1}) h^{-1} = \pm h M(\delta_1, \Phi) h^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

□

4.2. Monodromy and covers. In the following theorem we will use the following setup.

Notation 4.2. Let $u : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a rational map. Let B be the set of branch points of u . Define the punctured Riemann spheres $\Sigma_1 = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus B$ and $\Sigma_2 = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus u(B)$.

Let ξ be a potential on Σ_1 and η a potential on Σ_2 , such that

$$u^* \eta = \xi \cdot G_z[u].$$

Let $\rho_1 : \tilde{\Sigma}_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_1$ and $\rho_2 : \tilde{\Sigma}_2 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ be respective universal covers. Let Δ_1 and Δ_2 be the respective deck transformation groups.

Let $\Phi : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_{(r,1)} \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ be a solution to the equation $d\Phi = \Phi \xi$. Let $\Psi : \Sigma_2 \rightarrow \Lambda_{(r,1)} \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ be the solution to the equation $d\Psi = \Psi \eta$ such that

$$u^* \Psi = \Phi G_z[u].$$

Lemma 4.3. Consider Notation 4.2. Let $\tau : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a Möbius transformation such that $u \circ \tau = u$. Then u has finite order n . By Lemma 3.3

$$(4.1) \quad \tau^* \xi = \xi \cdot G_z[\tau].$$

Let $\tilde{\tau} : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_1$ such that $\tau \circ u = u \circ \tilde{\tau}$. Hence

$$(4.2) \quad \tilde{\tau}^* \Phi = h \Phi G_z[\tau]$$

for some $h \in \Lambda_{(r,1)} \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$.

(i) Let $\delta_2 \in \Delta_2$ be a deck transformation such that $\delta_2 \circ u = u \circ \tau$. Then $M(\delta_2, \Psi) = h$.

(ii) $\tilde{\tau}^n \in \Delta_1$ and $M(\tilde{\tau}^n, \Phi) = h^n$.

Proof. To prove (i), write $g = G_z[u]$ and $p = G_z[\tau]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad M(\delta_2, \Psi) &= \delta_2^* \Psi \cdot \Psi^{-1} \\ &= u^* \delta_2^* \Psi \cdot (u^* \Psi)^{-1} = \tau^* u^* \Psi \cdot (u^* \Psi)^{-1} \\ &= \tau^* (\Phi g) \cdot (\Phi g)^{-1} = \tau^* \Phi \cdot \tau^* g \cdot g^{-1} \Phi^{-1} \\ &= h \Phi (p \cdot \tau^* g \cdot g^{-1}) \Phi^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.4,

$$p \cdot \tau^* g = G_z[\tau] \cdot \tau^* G_w[u] = G_z[u \circ \tau] = G_z[u] = g.$$

Hence

$$p \cdot \tau^* g \cdot g^{-1} = \text{I},$$

so the right-hand side of (4.3) is h , proving (i).

To prove (ii), write $g = G_z[\tau]$ and $\delta_1 = (\tilde{\tau}^n)^*$. Apply $\tilde{\tau}$ to (4.2) $n - 1$ times to obtain

$$\delta_1^* \Phi = (\tilde{\tau}^n)^* \Phi = h^n \Phi \left(g \cdot \tilde{\tau}^* g \cdots (\tilde{\tau}^{n-1})^* g \right).$$

By Lemma 3.4,

$$g \cdot \tilde{\tau}^* g \cdots (\tilde{\tau}^{n-1})^* g = G_z[\tau^n] = I,$$

so $\delta_1^* \Phi = h^n \Phi$. By definition $M(\delta_1, \Phi) = \delta_1^* \Phi \cdot \Phi^{-1}$, so $M(\delta_1, \Phi) = h^n$, proving (ii). $\square$

5. n -noids

We are now prepared to construct the n -noids, in Theorem 5.7. The computation of their symmetry groups (Theorem 7.3 is deferred to the following Section 6 and Section 7.2.

5.1. Unitarizing three matrices whose product is I. The closing of the surface is achieved by unitarizing the monodromy. To do this, we show that the monodromy group is a subgroup of a monodromy group generated by three loops whose product is one. This unitarization problem is solved as follows.

Definition 5.1. The *spherical triangle inequalities* on three numbers $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are

$$(5.1) \quad |\nu_1| + |\nu_2| + |\nu_3| \leq 1, \quad |\nu_1| \leq |\nu_2| + |\nu_3|, \quad |\nu_2| \leq |\nu_1| + |\nu_3|, \quad |\nu_3| \leq |\nu_1| + |\nu_2|.$$

The *strict spherical triangle inequalities* are the above inequalities with “ $\leq$ ” replaced by “ $<$ ”.

The strict spherical triangle equalities provide necessary and sufficient conditions for simultaneous unitarizability of three matrices whose product is one [12]:

Lemma 5.2. Let $M_1, M_2, M_3 \in \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ satisfy $M_1 M_2 M_3 = I$. For $k = 1, 2, 3$, let $\exp(\pm 2\pi i \nu_k)$ be the eigenvalues of M_k with $\nu_k \in [-1/2, 1/2]$. Then M_1, M_2, M_3 are irreducible and simultaneously unitarizable if and only if the strict spherical inequalities hold.

5.2. Eigenvalues of the monodromy. We compute the eigenvalues of a set of generators of the monodromy group downstairs.

Notation 5.3. Let G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSU}_2 \cong \mathrm{SO}_3$. Let $u : \mathbb{P}_z^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_u^1$ be a generator of $\mathbb{C}(z)^G$ as in Lemma 2.5, chosen with branch values $0, 1, \infty$ and reflective symmetry $\overline{u(\bar{z})} = u(z)$. Let n_0, n_1, n_∞ be the orders of u at respective preimages of $0, 1, \infty$ under u , as listed at the end of Section 2. (In the case $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$, choose u with branch values $0, \infty$, and take $(n_0, n_1, n_\infty) = (n, 1, n)$.) For $w_0, w_1, w_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$, define the Hopf differential on $\mathbb{P}_u^1$

$$Q = \frac{a_0 + a_1 u + a_2 u^2}{u^2(u-1)^2} du^2,$$

where the coefficients $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ of the numerator are uniquely determined by the condition that the respective quadratic residues of Q at $0, 1, \infty$ are $w_0/16, w_1/16, w_\infty/16$. On $\mathbb{P}_z^1$ define the potential

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^{-1} dz \\ (1-\lambda)^2 (u^* Q)/dz & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 3.1(iv), there exists a quadratic differential α on $\mathbb{P}_u^1$ such that $u^* \alpha = \mathcal{S}_z[u]$. Let η be the potential on $\mathbb{P}_u^1$ defined by

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^{-1} du \\ ((1-\lambda)^2 Q + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \alpha)/du & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 3.3, ξ and η are related by the gauge equation

$$u^* \eta = \xi \cdot G_z[u].$$

We now compute the eigenvalues of a set of generators of the monodromy group for η on $\mathbb{P}_u^1$. The quadratic differential α has poles only at the branch values of u , and since u is meromorphic, these poles are double poles with quadratic residues $n^{-2} - 1$. Hence the set of poles of η is a subset of $\{0, 1, \infty\}$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let u , ξ and η be as in Notation 5.3. Let M_0 , M_1 , M_∞ be the monodromies for η around 0 , 1 , ∞ respectively. Then M_0 , M_1 , M_∞ generate the monodromy group for η , and for $k = 0, 1, \infty$, the eigenvalues of M_k are $\exp(\pm 2\pi i \mu_k(w_k, \lambda))$, where*

$$(5.2) \quad \mu_k(w, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n_k} \sqrt{1 + \lambda^{-1}(1 - \lambda)^2 w/4}, \quad k = 0, 1, \infty.$$

We now show that the monodromy group generated by M_0 , M_1 , M_∞ on the thrice-punctured sphere is pointwise unitarizable on $\mathbb{S}^1$ except possibly at a finite subset.

For all the finite groups except the cyclic group, for any weights near zero, the surface is balanced and the monodromy is unitarizable. In the case of the cyclic group, there is a balancing constraint: the sum of the n weights must be large enough to balance the remaining two ends.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $G \subset \mathrm{PSL}_2$ be a finite subgroup and let u be a generator of $\mathbb{C}(z)^G$. Let n_0, n_1, n_∞ be the respective orders of u at the preimages of its three branch values (in the case $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$, let $(n_0, n_1, n_\infty) = (n, 1, n)$). Then there exists an open set $W \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that for all $(w_1, w_2, w_3) \in W$, the three functions $\mu_k(w_k, \lambda)$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, defined by (5.2), satisfy the spherical triangles inequalities (5.1) for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and the strict spherical triangle inequalities for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{1\}$.*

Proof. We first prove the lemma in the case that $G \neq \mathbb{Z}_n$. Define the four functions f, f_0, f_1, f_∞ of $w = (w_1, w_2, w_3)$ and λ by

$$\begin{aligned} f(w, \lambda) &= 1 - |\mu_0(w_1, \lambda)| - |\mu_1(w_2, \lambda)| - |\mu_\infty(w_3, \lambda)|, \\ f_0(w, \lambda) &= -|\mu_0(w_1, \lambda)| + |\mu_1(w_2, \lambda)| + |\mu_\infty(w_3, \lambda)| \\ f_1(w, \lambda) &= +|\mu_0(w_1, \lambda)| - |\mu_1(w_2, \lambda)| + |\mu_\infty(w_3, \lambda)| \\ f_\infty(w, \lambda) &= +|\mu_0(w_1, \lambda)| + |\mu_1(w_2, \lambda)| - |\mu_\infty(w_3, \lambda)|. \end{aligned}$$

These functions are defined so that the strict (resp. non-strict) triangle inequalities hold for the $\mu_k(w_k, \lambda)$ if and only if $f(w, \lambda)$ and the $f_k(w, \lambda)$ are positive (resp. non-negative).

It can be checked that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$, $f(0, \lambda) > 0$ and $f_k(0, \lambda) > 0$, $k = 1, 2, 3$. Hence the three functions $\mu_k(0, \lambda)$, $k = 1, 2, 3$ satisfy the strict spherical triangles inequalities for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$. By the continuity of the μ_k , f , and the f_k ($k = 1, 2, 3$), there exists an open set $W \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ containing 0 such that f and the f_k are strictly positive for all $w \in W$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$. This proves the lemma in the case $G \neq \mathbb{Z}_n$.

In the case $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$, fix $v_0 \in (0, 4(1 - 1/n)/n)$ and let $w_0 = (0, 0, v_0)$. Then the following can be checked: (1) for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$, $f(w_0, \lambda) > 0$ and $f_\infty(w_0, \lambda) > 0$. (2) For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{1\}$, $f_0(w_0, \lambda) > 0$ and $f_1(w_0, \lambda) > 0$. (3) For all $w \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $f_0(w, 1) = 0$ and $f_1(w, 1) = 0$. By the continuity of the μ_k , f , and the f_k ($k = 1, 2, 3$), there exists an open set $W \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ containing w_0 such that for all $w \in W$, f and the f_k are positive for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{1\}$ and are non-negative at $\lambda = 1$. This proves the lemma in the case $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$. $\square$

Remark 5.6. From the explicitly given functions μ_k in Lemma 5.5 it is possible to explicitly calculate the set (w_1, w_2, w_3) for which the μ_k satisfy the spherical triangle inequalities on $\mathbb{S}^1$. For a similar calculation for trinoids (the case $n_0 = n_1 = n_\infty = 1$), see [12].

We now have the tools to construct CMC immersions of the punctured sphere. The computation of their symmetries is deferred to Theorem 7.3 below.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $G \subset \mathrm{SO}_3$ be a finite subgroup. Then there exists an open set $W \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that for each choice $(w_0, w_1, w_\infty) \in W$, there exists an initial value $C \in \Lambda_{(r,1)}\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ such that the CMC immersion induced by*

$$d\Phi = \Phi\xi, \quad \Phi(b) = C$$

lives on the punctured sphere.

Proof. By Lemma 5.5, there exists an open set $W \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that for all triples $(w_0, w_1, w_\infty) \in W$, the three functions $\mu_k(w_k, \lambda)$ satisfy the spherical triangle inequalities (5.1) on $\mathbb{S}^1$, and satisfy the strict spherical triangle inequalities on $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{1\}$.

By [7] (see also [12]), M_0, M_1, M_∞ are pointwise unitarizable on $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{1\}$. By the Unitarization Theorem [12], the monodromy group generated by M_0, M_1, M_∞ is simultaneously r -unitarizable by some $c \in \Lambda_{(r,1)}\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$.

By Lemma 4.3, each element of the monodromy group upstairs is a power of an element of the monodromy group downstairs. Hence c unitarizes the monodromy group upstairs.

Let F be the unitary factor in the r -Iwasawa factorization of $C\Phi$. Then since the monodromy group for $C\Phi$ is r -unitary, then for each deck transformation of $\tilde{\Sigma}_1$, the monodromy of $C\Phi$ is the same as that of F .

We also have the easy closing conditions for Φ , hence F . Hence Φ_1 induces a CMC immersion of the punctured sphere into $\mathbb{R}^3$. $\square$

Remark 5.8. It is conjectured that the ends of the immersions constructed in Theorem 7.3 are asymptotic to half-Delaunay surfaces (work in progress [8]). This is probably implied by the fact that (1) at each end, the potential is a perturbation of the potential for a Delaunay surface, and hence (2) the eigenvalues of the end monodromies are equal to those of Delaunay surfaces. The conjecture is corroborated by the numerically computed images.

Remark 5.9. A non-loop version of this proof can be used to construct CMC $H = 1$ n -noids in hyperbolic space. See [2, 1] for the trinoid and cyclic group cases.

Remark 5.10. Immersions into the spherical and hyperbolic spaceforms can also be constructed by a proof analogous to that of Theorem 7.3. For these constructions a modification of the potential (3.1) must be used: the $(1-\lambda)^2$ term should be replaced by $(\lambda-\lambda_0)(\lambda-\lambda_0^{-1})$. For spherical space, $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{\pm 1\}$ and for hyperbolic space, $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\pm 1\}$. See [12] for a similar construction in the three spaceforms carried out for trinoids.

6. Symmetry

Now the astronoid families are constructed, it remains to compute their symmetry groups. In this section we show that for a potential of the form (3.1), the symmetry group of the Hopf differential induces a symmetry group of the immersion, under certain assumptions on the monodromy group. The proof divides into two parts: (1) For each member f of the associate family of immersions, G induces a group of isometries of Euclidean space under which f is equivariant (Section 6.2). (2) For a member of the associate family which is “closed,” that is, an immersion of the universal cover which descends to the base Riemann surface, G is isomorphic to a symmetry group of the immersion (Section 6.3). Symmetries of CMC surfaces are also investigated in [6].

6.1. Preliminary. To prove the main symmetry result of this section, (Theorem 6.5) we require several preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $c \in \Lambda_{(r,1)}\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ be a unitarizer constructed in Unitarization Theorem of [12]. Then there exists a finite subset $S \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ such that c extends holomorphically to $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus S$, and has branched points or branched poles on S .*

Proof. c satisfies $c^*c = X$, where X is the kernel of a certain linear operator. Since X has at worst branched poles, then so does c . $\square$

Lemma 6.2. *Let $h \in \Lambda_{(r,1)}\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ and suppose that for some finite subset $S \subset \mathbb{S}^1$, h extends holomorphically to $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus S$, taking values in SU_2 there, and has branch points or branched poles at each point of S . Then h extends holomorphically to $\mathbb{S}^1$ and is in $\Lambda\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. This follows by the compactness of SU_2 . $\square$

Lemma 6.3. *Let $M_1, M_2 \in \mathrm{SU}_2$ satisfy $[M_1, M_2] \neq 0$. If $X \in \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ is such that $XM_1X^{-1} \in \mathrm{SU}_2$ and $XM_2X^{-1} \in \mathrm{SU}_2$, then $X \in \mathrm{SU}_2$.*

Proof. Since M_1 is diagonalizable by an element of SU_2 , we may assume without loss of generality that M_1 is diagonal. Let $X = UT$ be the QR-factorization of X , so $U \in \mathrm{SU}_2$ and $T \in \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ is upper-triangular. Then for $k = 1, 2$, $TM_kT^{-1} \in \mathrm{SU}_2$, so $[T^*T, M_k] = 0$. Since M_1 is diagonal, then T^*T is diagonal. Since $[M_1, M_2] \neq 0$, then M_2 is not diagonal. Hence $T^*T = \pm I$, so $T = \pm I$, so $X = U \in \mathrm{SU}_2$. $\square$

6.2. Symmetry of the associate family. We show that the symmetry group of the Hopf differential induces a group of symmetries on each member of the associate family of immersions of the universal cover of the punctured sphere. In Section 6.3 we consider the symmetry of a closed immersion, that is, a member of the associate family which descends to the punctured sphere.

We start with a group G of conformal and anticonformal Möbius transformations. (An “anticonformal Möbius transformation” is a Möbius transformation post-composed with complex conjugation.)

We define a group $\tilde{G}$ acting on $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and a group homomorphism $q : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ as follows: define $\tilde{G}$ as the set of maps $\sigma : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = \tau \circ \pi$ for some $\tau \in G$, and define $q(\sigma) = \tau$.

Theorem 6.4. *Let ξ be a potential of the form (3.1) on a punctured sphere Σ , and let Q be the Hopf differential on Σ determined by ξ . Let G be a group of conformal and anticonformal Möbius transformations on the Riemann sphere which is compatible with Q in the following sense:*

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau^*Q &= Q \text{ for } \tau \in G \text{ conformal;} \\ \overline{\tau^*Q} &= Q \text{ for } \tau \in G \text{ anticonformal.} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{G}$ the group which is the “lift” of G to the universal cover $\tilde{\Sigma}$ as defined above. Then there exists a group homomorphism $\rho : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(3)$ such that for all $\tau \in G$,

$$\tau^* f|_{\lambda=1} = \rho(\tau) \circ f|_{\lambda=1}.$$

Proof. Let $\pi : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \Sigma$ be the universal cover of Σ . Let $\Phi : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \Lambda_{(r,1)}\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ be a solution to the equation $d\Phi = \Phi\xi$ with unitary monodromy which is not identically reducible on $\mathbb{S}^1$.

We define the map $\rho : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(3)$ for the two cases of conformal and anticonformal Möbius transformations. Let $\tau \in \tilde{G}$ and assume first that τ is conformal. By Lemma 3.5(i), we have

$$\tau^*\xi = \xi \cdot G_z[\tau].$$

Then we have

$$(6.2) \quad \tau^*\Phi = h_\tau \Phi G_z[\tau]$$

for some z -independent $h_\tau \in \Lambda_{(r,1)}\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$.

We now show that $h_\tau \in \Lambda^*\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$. We have that $\Phi = c\Phi_0$ for some $c \in \Lambda_{(r,1)}\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ and $\Phi_0 \in \Lambda\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ (c is the unitarizer). By Lemma 6.1, there exists a finite subset $S \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ such that c extends holomorphically to $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus S$, and has branch points or branched poles on S . Hence the same holds for h . Since by hypothesis, the monodromy group $\mathcal{M}_1$ on $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is not identically reducible, there exist deck transformations $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Delta$ such that $M[\delta_1, \Phi]$ and $M[\delta_2, \Phi]$ are irreducible on $\mathbb{S}^1$ except at finitely many points. That is, $[M[\delta_1, \Phi], M[\delta_2, \Phi]] \neq 0$. For $k = 1, 2$, define $\epsilon_k = \tilde{\tau} \circ \delta_k \circ \tilde{\tau}^{-1}$. By Lemma 4.1(ii) (with $\Psi = \Phi$ in that lemma), we have

$$M[\epsilon_k, \Phi] = \pm h M[\delta_k, \Phi] h^{-1}, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Since by hypothesis, $\mathcal{M}_1$ is unitary, then for $k = 1, 2$, h conjugates the two unitary loops $M[\delta_k, \Phi]$ to unitary loops $\pm M[\epsilon_k, \Phi]$. By Lemma 6.3, h takes values in SU_2 on $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus S$. By Lemma 6.2, h extends to $\mathbb{S}^1$ and is in $\Lambda^*\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$.

Let F be the s -unitary factor of Φ for $s \in (r, 1)$. Then (6.2) implies that $\tau^*F = h_\tau F$. The Sym formula then gives

$$\tau^*f = h_\tau f h_\tau^{-1} + h'_\tau h_\tau^{-1}.$$

Define $\rho(\sigma, \lambda)$ by

$$\rho(\tau, \lambda)(x) = h_\sigma x h_\sigma^{-1} + h'_\sigma h_\sigma^{-1}.$$

Then by definition of $\rho(\sigma, \lambda)$ we have equivariance $\tau^*f = \rho(\sigma, \lambda) \circ f$.

In a similar way we define $\rho(\tau, \lambda)$ for the case of anticonformal $\tau \in G$. By Lemma 3.5(ii),

$$\tau^*\xi^\circ = \xi.G_z[\overline{\tau}],$$

so

$$\tau^*\Phi^\circ = h_\tau \Phi G_z[\overline{\tau}]$$

for some z -independent $h_\sigma \in \Lambda_{(r,1)}\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$. By an argument similar to that in the conformal case above, we have $h_\sigma \in \Lambda^*\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$.

Let F be the s -unitary factor of Φ for $s \in (r, 1)$. Then (6.2) implies that $\tau^*F^\circ = h_\tau F$. The Sym formula then gives

$$\tau^*f = - \left(h_\tau^\circ f^\circ h_\tau^{\circ-1} + h_\tau^{\circ'} h_\tau^{\circ-1} \right).$$

Define $\rho(\tau, \lambda)$ by

$$\rho(\tau, \lambda)(x) = - \left(h_\tau^\circ x^\circ h_\tau^{\circ-1} + h_\tau^{\circ'} h_\tau^{\circ-1} \right).$$

Then by definition of $\rho(\sigma, \lambda)$ we have equivariance $\tau^*f = \rho(\sigma, \lambda) \circ f$.

That the map $\rho : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(3)$ at $\lambda = 1$ defined by $\rho(\tau) = \rho(\tau, 1)$ is a group homomorphism follows from the equivariance:

$$\rho(\tau_1 \circ \tau_2) \circ f = f \circ \tau_1 \circ \tau_2 = \rho(\tau_1) \circ f \circ \tau_2 = \rho(\tau_1) \circ \rho(\tau_2) \circ f.$$

Since the image of f spans $\mathfrak{su}_2$, then $\rho(\tau_1 \circ \tau_2) = \rho(\tau_1) \circ \rho(\tau_2)$. $\square$

6.3. Symmetries of closed surfaces. Equipped with the symmetry result for the associate family (Theorem 6.4), we now investigate the symmetries of closed surfaces.

Theorem 6.5 (Symmetry Theorem). *Let ξ be a potential of the form (3.1) on a punctured sphere Σ , and let Q be the Hopf differential on Σ determined by ξ . Let G be a group of conformal and anticonformal Möbius transformations on the Riemann sphere which is compatible with Q as in (6.1). Suppose the symmetry group G is finite. Suppose that for some $\lambda = \lambda_0$, the CMC immersion f_{λ_0} on the universal cover $\tilde{\Sigma}$ descends to an immersion of the punctured sphere Σ . Then there exists a group isomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(3)$ under which f_{λ_0} is equivariant, that is, $\tau^*f_{\lambda_0} = \rho(\tau) \circ f_{\lambda_0}$ for all $\tau \in G$.*

Proof. We first construct the group homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(3)$. Consider the group $\tilde{G}$ defined in Section 6.2, and let $\tilde{\rho} : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(3)$ be the group homomorphism constructed in Theorem 6.4.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{G} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}} & T \\ q \downarrow & \nearrow \rho & \\ G & & \end{array}$$

Let Δ be the group of deck transformations of $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Note that Δ is a subgroup of $\tilde{G}$. We now show that $\Delta \subset \ker \tilde{\rho}$. The form of the potential ξ implies the closing conditions (1.1). Let $\delta \in \Delta$. Then $\delta^*\Phi = h_\delta\Phi$, where h_δ is the monodromy of Φ corresponding to δ . Then $h_\delta(1) = \text{I}$ and $h'_\delta(1) = 0$, so $\rho(\delta) = \text{I}$.

This shows that $\Delta \subset \ker \tilde{\rho}$. It follows that there a group homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(3)$ so that $\tilde{\rho} = q \circ \rho$, that is, the above diagram commutes.

We now show that ρ is a group isomorphism. Let $\tau \in G$ and define h_τ by $\tau^*\Phi = h_\tau\Phi g$, $g = G_z[\tau]$. By Lemma 4.3(i), h is the monodromy downstairs. The eigenvalues of the monodromy downstairs is ρ . Then $\rho(1) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ is the order of u at a preimage of a branch point. Hence $\rho(1) \notin \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $M(1) \notin \{\pm \text{I}\}$, so $h(1) \notin \{\pm \text{I}\}$. Hence $\rho(\tau)$ is not the trivial element of $\mathcal{E}(3)$. This shows that $\ker \rho$ is trivial, so ρ is an isomorphism. $\square$

Remark 6.6. The symmetry Theorem 6.4 and Theorem 6.5 apply when the immersion is constructed by r -dressing, as long as the dressing can be extended holomorphically to the annulus $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid r < |\lambda| < 1\}$. If the dressing cannot be so extended, then it is not known whether h in the proof extends holomorphically to $\mathbb{S}^1$, a requirement for the completion of the proof. Thus the theorem does not apply to n -noids constructed by dressing by simple factors; images of theses surfaces [9] indicate that dressing breaks symmetry.

Remark 6.7. The symmetries of n -noid immersions into the spherical and hyperbolic space-forms (Remark 5.10) can be computed by an argument analogous to that of Theorem 6.5.

7. Symmetries of astronoids

We conclude by computing the symmetries of the n -noids constructed in Theorem 5.7. For each choice of finite subgroup $G \subset \text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$, let H be the corresponding subgroup of SO_3 . Then the symmetry group of an n -noid constructed from G is generated by the elements of H together with a reflection in a plane.

7.1. Irreducibility. As the irreducibility of the monodromy representation is a requirement for the application of the Symmetry Theorem 6.5, we show that the unitary monodromy group of the n -noids constructed in Theorem 5.7 is irreducible. The proof divides into cases according to how many of the three end weights are zero. For the case of two or three non-zero weights, an argument can be made using the fact that the monodromies are powers of the irreducible “trinoid” monodromies group downstairs. For the case of one non-zero weight, a special argument must be used. If all three weights are zero, the n -noid degenerates to a sphere.

We start with a simple fact about the irreducibility of powers of matrices.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $A, B \in \text{M}_{2 \times 2}\mathbb{C}$ be irreducible. Suppose for some $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, $A^r \notin \mathbb{C}\text{I}$ and $B^s \notin \mathbb{C}\text{I}$. Then A^r and B^s are irreducible.*

Proof. If A^r and B^s are reducible, they have a common eigenline v . Since v is an eigenline of A^r , then v is an eigenline A . Similarly v is an eigenline of B . Thus A and B have a common eigenline, and are hence reducible. $\square$

Theorem 7.2. *Let u , ξ and η be as in Notation 5.3. Assume that at least one of the three weights w_0, w_1, w_2 defining Q is non-zero. Let Φ be the meromorphic solution to the equation $d\Phi = \Phi\xi$ as in Theorem 5.7, with initial condition chosen so that Φ has unitary monodromy group $\mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is irreducible on $\mathbb{S}^1$ except possibly on a finite subset.*

Proof. *Case 1: two or three non-zero weights:* Let M_1 and M_2 be monodromies downstairs around ends with non-zero weights. By Lemma 5.2, the monodromy group downstairs is irreducible on $\mathbb{S}^1$ except at a finite subset. By Lemma 7.1, the same holds for the monodromy group upstairs.

Case 2: one non-zero weight: Arrange so that the end with non-zero weight is the orbit of $\tau : z \mapsto \alpha z$, where α is a root of unity. Then $\tau^*\xi = \xi \cdot g$, where $g = \text{diag}(\alpha^{1/2}, \alpha^{-1/2})$. Then 0 is a fixed point of τ , and is not a pole of ξ .

We now use an argument found in [11], there applied to the cyclic case, and here applied more generally. We have $M_k = g^k M_0 g^{-k}$. We also have $M_0 \cdots M_{n-1} = I$. Hence $(M_0 g)^n = -I$. It follows that the eigenvalues of $M_0 g$ are n 'th roots of -1 , and are hence constant. Using $M_0(1) = I$, we get that the eigenvalues of $M_0 g$ are $\alpha^{\pm 1/2}$.

We now apply Lemma 5.2 to the triple $(M_0, g, (M_0 g)^{-1})$. Let the eigenvalues of $M_0 = \exp(\pm 2\pi i \nu)$, $\nu \in [-1/2, 1/2]$. By Lemma 5.2, $(M_0, g, (M_0 g)^{-1})$ are irreducible on $\mathbb{S}^1$ if $0 < |\nu| < 1/n$. Since ν is not constant on $\mathbb{S}^1$, the inequality holds on $\mathbb{S}^1$ except possibly at a finite subset. $\square$

7.2. Symmetric n -noids. The final theorem below computes the symmetry groups of the n -noids constructed in Theorem 5.7. It refers to the following finite subgroups of O_3 , all of which contain orientation-reversing elements:

- n -fold pyramidal symmetry is the symmetry of a right pyramid over a regular n -gon, with group order $2n$.
- n -fold prismatic symmetry is the symmetry of a right prism (or double-pyramid) over a regular n -gon, with group order $4n$.
- Full tetrahedron, full octahedron and full icosahedron symmetries are the full symmetry groups of the respective regular polyhedra, with respective group orders 24, 48 and 120.

Theorem 7.3. *Let $G \subset \text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ be a finite subgroup, and let f be a CMC immersion of the punctured Riemann sphere constructed in Theorem 5.7. Then f has the following symmetries: for $G = \mathbb{Z}$, f has n -fold pyramidal symmetry; for $G = D_n$, f has n -fold prismatic symmetry, and for $G = A_4, S_4$ and A_5 , f has respectively full tetrahedral, full octahedral or full icosahedral symmetry.*

Proof. Let H be the subgroup of the conformal and anticonformal Möbius transforms generated by G together with the map $z \mapsto \bar{z}$. The proof uses the Symmetry Theorem 6.5, with H playing the role of G in that theorem.

By the choice of Q , for all $\tau \in G$ we have $\tau^*Q = Q$. Let $c = \text{conj}$. We now show that $\overline{c^*Q} = Q$. We have $Q = u^*q$ and $\overline{c^*q} = q$. Moreover, u was chosen so that $u \circ c = c \circ u$, so $c^*u^* = u^*c^*$. Then

$$\overline{c^*Q} = \overline{c^*u^*q} = \overline{u^*c^*q} = u^*q = Q.$$

Hence Q has the symmetries with respect to H as required by Theorem 6.5.

By Lemma 7.1, the same holds for the monodromy group upstairs.

By Theorem 7.2, the monodromy group of Φ is irreducible. $\square$

References

1. A. I. Bobenko and T. V. Pavlyukevich, *Bryant n -noids with smooth ends or symmetry*, Preprint, 2005.
2. A. I. Bobenko, T. V. Pavlyukevich, and B. A. Springborn, *Hyperbolic constant mean curvature one surfaces: spinor representation and trinoids in hypergeometric functions*, Math. Z. **245** (2003), no. 1, 63–91.
3. F. E. Burstall and F. Pedit, *Dressing orbits of harmonic maps*, Duke Math. J. **80** (1995), no. 2, 353–382.
4. H. S. M. Coxeter, *Introduction to geometry*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1989, Reprint of the 1969 edition.
5. J. Dorfmeister, F. Pedit, and H. Wu, *Weierstrass type representation of harmonic maps into symmetric spaces*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **6** (1998), no. 4, 633–668.
6. Josef Dorfmeister and Guido Haak, *On symmetries of constant mean curvature surfaces. I. General theory*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **50** (1998), no. 3, 437–454.
7. William M. Goldman, *Topological components of spaces of representations*, Invent. Math. **93** (1988), no. 3, 557–607.
8. M. Kilian, W. Rossman, and N. Schmitt, *Delaunay ends of constant mean curvature surfaces*, in preparation, 2006.
9. M. Kilian, N. Schmitt, and I. Sterling, *Dressing CMC n -noids*, Math. Z. **246** (2004), no. 3, 501–519.
10. Ian McIntosh, *Global solutions of the elliptic 2D periodic Toda lattice*, Nonlinearity **7** (1994), no. 1, 85–108.
11. W. Rossman and N. Schmitt, *Simultaneous unitarizability of $SL_n(C)$ -valued maps, and constant mean curvature k -noid monodromy*, preprint, 2006.
12. N. Schmitt, M. Kilian, S.-P. Kobayashi, and W. Rossman, *Unitarization of monodromy representations and constant mean curvature trinoids in 3-dimensional space forms*, to appear in J. London Math. Soc., 2006.